## **EECE 532: Marking sheet for final report**

Report Author:	
Title of Paper:	

Category	Excellent (8)	Good (7-6)	Adequate (5-4)	Inadequate (3-1)	Pts	wt	Sub- totals
Abstract, Introduction: context	Background information is presented, explains significance & relevance of topic. Objectives are clear.	Problem or issue is evident. Importance of activity is discussed but the "big picture"/reason is not clearly stated.	Significance or relevance is poorly discussed. Some discussion of the activity but lacks clear explanation of the importance or goal of activity.	Does not seem to understand what the topic is about or how it fits into a scientific context.		X 1	
Conclusion: implications	Conclusion neatly ties up and convincingly summarizes the argument. Explanation supported by results. Addresses: objective, discusses scientific error. Ends with "big picture," further work or open questions.	Conclusion summarises main ideas and clearly restates the argument. Explains objective supporting evidence with results but missing "big picture."	Conclusion summarizes the main ideas but does not use results to support the objectives.	Conclusion is missing or does not explain the objectives.		X 1	
Organization: coherence and structure.	Organization and information flow is immediately obvious. Points and evidence arranged effectively.	Points and evidence arranged effectively. Major concepts defined.	Major concepts defined. At times confusing or sections are unrelated to the objectives.	Confused (random) organization. Main points are not clear.		X 1	
Analysis: evidence of critical thinking	Critical thinking was demonstrated. Scientific context, & implications or possibilities, considered. Unique insights (original interpretations) and implications to practice were expressed. Demonstrates internalized understanding of concepts. Proposes novel design.	Thorough analysis and evaluation of evidence. Considers multiple perspectives of the issue and compares them to their own; thorough analysis and evaluation of evidence. Identifies limitations of current work. Evaluates data, summarizes, evaluates current designs.	Some analysis was evident; little integration of ideas was expressed. Clear main idea that focuses on a single dimension of issue.	Ideas are introduced but are not followed up on. Issues are discussed simply and concretely without depth or detail. Listed ideas, paraphrases data.		Х3	
Scholarship: evidence of well researched work	The subject has evidently been researched exhaustively, using a wide range of sources. Extensive and current references consulted and appropriate citations given	Many relevant references were consulted and citations are present and appropriate citations given.	Few relevant resources have been consulted or some that are inappropriate (marginal websites, etc). Missing some key references or mis-cited.	Only a single source, or sources that are inappropriate. Mis-cited sources.		X 2	
Tables and figures: legible and logical, used effectively.	High quality, clearly understandable and creative. Information is immediately clear. Excellent use of found or original figures and appropriate citations given.	Images & text do support ideas. May have some extra or missing info, but appropriate citations given.	Too few images, or extraneous ones. Too much or too little detail. Some images may be illegible. Information may be slightly confusing. References lacking in some cases.	Text and images are not easily usable, unrelated, and or ill prepared. References lacking.		X 1	
<b>Literary Quality:</b> Grammar, spelling, clarity, style.	Appropriate scientific language used. All grammar and spelling correct.	Language is formal, concise and precise. Few spelling or grammatical errors.	Sometimes uses generalisations or colloquial elements. Multiple errors	Excessive errors in spelling, grammar and punctuation that sometimes create confused meanings and slows down the reader. Colloquial language.		X 1	
Format	All formatting requirements are met.	Most formatting requirements are met.	Many formatting requirements are not met.	Most formatting requirements are not met.		x 1	

Maximum Total Marks Awarded to Report: 8\*(1+1+1+3+2+1+1+1) = 88

TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_

This peer-review is anonymous. You should not reveal your identity in your reports or directly to the authors.

Please include your report below. Start by summarizing the content of the paper in your own words. Then discuss the content of the paper by pointing out problems or errors as well as exceptionally good parts of the paper. Include comments on the categories rated above. You may have to consult the literature for additional background in order to assess the paper. You may wish to cite other references (to clarify some points made in the paper; important literature that the author did not cite; etc.).