#### **Soft Real-Time Systems**

**EECE 494 – Real-Time Systems Design** 

#### **Elastic scheduling of real-time tasks**

<u>Ref:</u> Elastic task model for adaptive rate control. Buttazzo, Lipari and Abeni. IEEE Real-Time Systems Symposium, 1998.

#### Many models for soft real-time systems

#### Why?

- There are a variety of soft real-time systems.
- And there are a variety of ways in which their behaviour can be altered.
  - In a multimedia system...
    - Frames can be dropped, i.e., jobs can be skipped.
    - Alternatively, it is possible to reduce the frame rate.
      - □ Move from 60 fps to 30 fps or to 24 fps.
      - □ We are changing the periodicity of a task.

The elastic task model provides a natural abstraction for such tasks.



UBC | EECE 494 | Real-Time Systems

### **Elastic task scheduling**

What if we need all jobs to meet their deadlines... but we can allow tasks to execute at lower frequencies (higher periods)?

#### Then we need to understand

- When should we increase task periods?
- And increase the periods by what extent?
- And for which tasks?

# Task model for elastic scheduling

#### For each task $T_i$ :

- There is an operating period range [P<sub>i,min</sub>, P<sub>i,max</sub>]
  - P<sub>i,min</sub> is the best possible period
- There is also a value P<sub>i,0</sub> that represents a nominal period
  - This nominal period is preferred when we can not run the task at the best possible period
- For a hard real-time task:
  - $\mathbf{P}_{i,\min} = \mathbf{P}_{i,\max} = \mathbf{P}_{i,0}$
- If  $P_{i,0} = P_{i,max}$  and  $P_{i,min} \leq P_{i,max}$ , the task is very flexible (highly elastic)
- Only periods can be varied; execution times are constant

### Task model for elastic scheduling

#### For each task $T_i$ :

There is also an elasticity factor k<sub>i</sub> that represents the flexibility of the task

Additional parameters

| Task           | e <sub>i</sub> | <b>P</b> <sub>i,0</sub> | P <sub>i,min</sub> | P <sub>i,max</sub> | k <sub>i</sub> |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | 10             | 20                      | 20                 | 25                 | 1              |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 10             | 40                      | 40                 | 50                 | 1              |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 15             | 70                      | 35                 | 80                 | 1              |

UBC | EECE 494 | Real-Time Systems

# Schedulability analysis

# We will assume utilization bounds are used for testing schedulability.

- Depending on the scheduling policy (EDF or RM), we know the utilization bound  $U_b$
- The goal of the elasticity is to ensure that the utilization of the set of tasks does not exceed U<sub>b</sub>
- Of course, we need to scale down task utilizations if the processor utilization threatens to exceed U<sub>b</sub> (for instance, when we add a new task)
- How do we scale down task utilizations?

#### An example

| Task           | e <sub>i</sub> | <b>P</b> <sub>i,0</sub> | <b>P</b> <sub>i,min</sub> | P <sub>i,max</sub> | k <sub>i</sub> |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> | 10             | 20                      | 20                        | 25                 | 1              |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 10             | 40                      | 40                        | 50                 | 1              |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 15             | 70                      | 35                        | 80                 | 1              |

- Is this task set schedulable using EDF?
  - If each task were to use its nominal period then:
    - ▶ U = 10/20 + 10/40 + 15/70 = 0.964 < 1.
  - To improve the QoS for T<sub>3</sub>, we would like to use a period of 50 (between 35 and 80):
    - ▶ U = 10/20 + 10/40 + 15/50 = 1.05 > 1.
  - We could adjust the periods of  $T_1$  (set to 22) and  $T_2$  (set to 45):
    - ▶ U = 10/22 + 10/45 + 15/50 = 0.977.

The adjustment seems ad hoc. Can we systematically adjust task periods?

- At time instant t, let us suppose that task T<sub>i</sub> is operating with period P<sub>i</sub>
  - Some of the tasks could be operating at the highest possible period P<sub>i</sub> = P<sub>i,max</sub>
  - We cannot increase the periods of these tasks
  - Denote this *set of tasks* by *M*

$$U_M = \sum_{T_i \in M} \frac{e_i}{P_{i,max}}$$

If the utilization bound is  $U_b$ , then the remaining tasks – those not in M – cannot have a combined utilization greater than  $U_b$ - $U_M$ .

- Let the set of tasks with variable/ adjustable periods be *V*.
- U<sub>0</sub> is the combined nominal utilization of tasks in set V.

• If  $U_0 = U_b - U_M$ , set the periods of all tasks in V to their nominal periods.

- If U<sub>0</sub> < U<sub>b</sub>-U<sub>M</sub>, we can improve the QoS for some tasks.
- If U<sub>0</sub> > U<sub>b</sub>-U<sub>M</sub>, we need to reduce the QoS for some tasks.

If the utilization bound is  $U_b$ , then the remaining tasks – those not in M – cannot have a combined utilization greater than  $U_b$ - $U_M$ .

$$U_0 = \sum_{T_i \in V} \frac{e_i}{P_{i,0}}$$



- If  $U_0 < U_b U_M$ , we can improve the QoS for some tasks.
- How do we adjust task utilizations?
  - Use the elasticity coefficients, k<sub>i</sub>.

$$\forall T_i \in V : U_i = U_{i,0} + (U_b - U_M - U_0) \frac{k_i}{\sum_{T_j \in V} k_j}$$



• What if  $U_0 > U_b - U_M$ ?

We do not need a new solution!



$$\forall T_i \in V : U_i = U_{i,0} + (U_b - U_M - U_0) \frac{k_i}{\sum_{T_j \in V} k_j}$$

UBC | EECE 494 | Real-Time Systems

- What do we do if the adjustment causes a task T<sub>i</sub> to have a period greater then P<sub>i,max</sub>?
  - We have to set the period of that task to P<sub>i,max</sub>,
  - Add this task to set M,
  - Remove the task from set V,
  - And try to adjust the periods once more for the task in V.

#### **Detailed algorithm**

Elastic task model for adaptive rate control. Buttazzo, Lipari and Abeni. RTSS 1998.

Algorithm Task\_compress( $\Gamma$ ,  $U_d$ ) {

 $\begin{aligned} U_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n C_i / T_{i_0}; \\ U_{min} &= \sum_{i=1}^n C_i / T_{i_{max}}; \\ \text{if} (U_d < U_{min}) \text{ return INFEASIBLE}; \end{aligned}$ 

**do** {

$$\begin{array}{l} U_{f} = E_{v} = 0; \\ \text{for } (each \ \tau_{i}) \left\{ & \text{if } ((e_{i} == 0) \ \text{or } (T_{i} == T_{i_{max}})) \\ & U_{f} = U_{f} + U_{i}; \\ \text{else } E_{v} = E_{v} + e_{i}; \\ \end{array} \right\} \\ ok = 1; \\ \text{for } (each \ \tau_{i} \in \Gamma_{v}) \left\{ & \text{if } ((e_{i} > 0) \ \text{and } (T_{i} < T_{i_{max}})) \left\{ & U_{i} = U_{i_{0}} - (U_{0} - U_{d} + U_{f})e_{i}/E_{v}; \\ & T_{i} = C_{i}/U_{i}; \\ & \text{if } (T_{i} > T_{i_{max}}) \left\{ & T_{i} = T_{i_{max}}; \\ & ok = 0; \\ & \end{array} \right\} \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{while } (ok \ == \ 0); \\ \text{return FEASIBLE}; \end{array} \end{cases}$$

#### The elasticity analogy

Do not worry about the terminology here.





UBC | EECE 494 | Real-Time Systems

#### **Compression and decompression**

- When workload reduces, which may be because a task is complete and is removed from the task set, other tasks can expand or return to their nominal utilizations.
- Compression/decompression refer to the utilization of the task.
  - Increasing the period compresses the utilization.
  - Decreasing the period expands the utilization.

#### When do we compress/decompress?

- Can we increase or decrease the period of a task at any time instant?
  - > Periods can be increased at any time (immediately).
  - Periods can be decreased (utilization increased) only at the next release time of the task.
  - If you want the detailed proof, consult the reference article.]

#### Why decompress at specific instants?

- Originally, U = 3/10 + 2/3 = 0.9666 < 1.
- After changing periods at t=14: U = 3/5 + 2/6 = 0.9666 < 1.
- If the period of T<sub>1</sub> is changed at once (at t=14), T<sub>1</sub> misses a deadline.



UBC | EECE 494 | Real-Time Systems

### **Highlights**

The elastic task model

- Allows period (rate) adaptation in a real-time system.
- Analogous to physical spring systems.
- Like skip-based scheduling, elastic scheduling is suitable for multimedia applications.
- Also useful in manufacturing applications.
  - Silicon wafers processed in a semiconductor plant.
  - We can reduce the rate of processing but we cannot skip a wafer.

### What you should know

- How do we adjust the periods of tasks?
- When can we adjust the periods of tasks?
- Period changes are performed, typically, when a new task is added to the system (may need to compress tasks) or when a task is removed (can decompress remaining tasks).
- The choice of which soft real-time model to adopt depends on the application and the expected behaviour.

#### Tasks with variable execution times

<u>Ref:</u> Algorithms for scheduling imprecise computations. Liu, et al. IEEE Computer, vol. 25, no. 5, May 1991.

#### Lecture overview

- Elastic scheduling allows us to adjust task periods at times of overload
- In this lecture, we will examine a third approach
  - Imprecise computation, which trades accuracy of computation for schedulability
  - Assumes that the accuracy of computation is related to the execution time allotted to the task

Why is the imprecise computation model useful?

- The case for imprecise computations
- For specific applications, approximate results may suffice
  - Image processing (fuzzy frames)
  - Object tracking (location estimates rather than accurate location)
  - Artificial intelligence algorithms typically perform a search (shorter search time results in a lower quality result)
    - Google's search is not a bad example

| 000   | O UBC – Google Search   |  |                                       |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| G · O · 🕄 😣 👌 🚽   | TAG http://www.google.ca/search?q=UBC&ie=utf-8&oe   | e=utf-8&aq=t&rls=org.mozilla:en- 🔻 🎓 🕻 | - UBC PC                              |
| 길 GTD 길 Courses 길 Music 길 Ne  | ews 🕕 Vancouver 🛺 UBC 🔑 ECE <u>G</u> iGoogle 📄 CiteULik   | e 📄 Tumbir                             | <mark>l</mark> ™ sathish <del>-</del> |
| F 🔹 🔄 Recently Bookmarked 👻 📄   | DeSmogBlog 📄 Active Spam Killer 脂 happyfrog.ca 🎈 Cool E   | arth Action 🙋 BBC/OU Open2.net 📄 M5 🛛  | William Stallings                     |
| Web Images Maps News Vide   | o Gmail <u>more</u> ▼   |  | Sign in                               |
| Google" UBC<br>Search: (  | • the web C pages from Canada   | Advanced Search<br>Preferences         |                                       |
| Web   |   | Results 1 - 10 of about 7,             | 710,000 for UBC. (0.07 seconds)       |
| Welcome to the University of<br>Welcome to UBC.ca, the University of<br>www.ubc.ca/ - 16k - Cached - Similar  | of British Columbia's central web site.   |  |                                       |
| Faculties & Schools<br>Students<br>About UBC<br>Faculty & Staff   | Directories<br>Search<br>Teaching & Learning<br>Library Home Page   | Can return fewer page                  | s if out of time.                     |
|   | Search ubc.ca   |  |                                       |
| Canada.<br>www.physics.ubc.ca/ - 17k - Cached<br>UBC Library Home Page<br>Learning, knowledge, research, insigh<br>second-largest academic research lib<br>www.library.ubc.ca/ - 20k - Cached -<br>UBC Department of Economic<br>Provides faculty directory, course scl<br>www.econ.ubc.ca/ - 7k - Cached - Sii<br>UBC - Computer Science<br>Department of Computer Science. Re<br>intelligence, database systems, distri | nt: welcome to the world of <b>UBC</b> Library, the<br>rary in Canada.<br>Similar pages<br>s Main Page<br>hedule, and degree information.<br>milar pages<br>esearch areas include computer graphics, artificial<br>buted systems, |  |                                       |
| UBC sports teams.<br>www.gothunderbirds.ca/ - 23k - Cache<br>UBC Chemistry Department   | udes schedules, information, recruiting, and news for all<br>ed - Similar pages<br>Department of Chemistry. This site provides information<br>udies in chemistry at the   |  |                                       |
| Done  |   | 🔲 Sr 😀 📒                               | 🕽 Open Notebook 🛛 🔀 14 Mail 4         |

How do we achieve the imprecise computation model?

#### • We assume that tasks are iterative

- The number of iterations suggests quality (fewer iterations imply lower quality)
- Terminate the task after a few iterations with acceptable quality
- Tasks may have a <u>mandatory part</u>
  - Any computation beyond this mandatory portion improves the quality but the task is meaningless without the mandatory portion

#### More examples

- Radar tracking: Get estimated target locations in a timely fashion rather than accurate information that is too late to be of use
- Multimedia systems: Transmit a low quality image in time rather than missing the deadline, e.g., to meet the 24 fps requirement
- Control systems: Produce an approximate result by a control law as long as the controlled system, e.g., cruise control system, remains stable

### Scheduling imprecise tasks

- Tasks are periodic with known period (P<sub>i</sub>) and an execution time range [e<sub>i,min</sub>, e<sub>i,max</sub>]
- e<sub>i,min</sub> represents the mandatory execution required by each task
- The accuracy of a task is highest when each job executes for e<sub>i,max</sub> time units

#### First step

- Ensure that the mandatory portions of all tasks are schedulable
- If tasks are scheduled with rate monotonic priorities, we can use the Liu & Layland bound

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_{i,min}}{P_i} \le n(2^{1/n} - 1)$$

#### **Example task set**

| Task | E <sub>min</sub> | E <sub>max</sub> | Р  |
|------|------------------|------------------|----|
| T1   | 2                | 7                | 10 |
| T2   | 4                | 8                | 25 |
| Т3   | 6                | 10               | 30 |

$$\frac{2}{10} + \frac{4}{25} + \frac{6}{30} = 0.56 < 3(2^{1/3} - 1)$$

The mandatory portion of this task set is schedulable.

# Scheduling imprecise tasks

- Once we have ensured that the mandatory portions are schedulable, we have many options
- The loss in accuracy for each task can be specified by some error function F
  - **Let** e<sub>i</sub> be the execution time of T<sub>i</sub> then the error is some function of e<sub>i</sub> and e<sub>i,max</sub>
- We could decide to minimize the (weighted) sum of errors
  - How do we find the values for {e<sub>i</sub>} that minimize the error?



#### A simple error function

•  $F(e_{i,max}, e_i) = e_{i,max} - e_i$  is a simple error function

Let us also assume that the weight of each task is 1.

$$\begin{split} \min \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_{i,max} - e_i) \\ \text{subject to} \\ e_i \geq e_{i,min}, \forall i \\ e_i \leq e_{i,max}, \forall i \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_i}{P_i} \leq n(2^{1/n} - 1) \end{split}$$

A simple linear program

# Solving the linear program

| $\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_{i,max} - e_i)$             |
|---|
| subject to  |
| $e_i \geq e_{i,min}, orall i$                      |
| $e_i \leq e_{i,max}, orall i$                      |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e_i}{P_i} \le n(2^{1/n} - 1)$ |

| Task      | E <sub>min</sub> | <b>E</b> <sub>max</sub> | Р  |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------------|----|
| <b>T1</b> | 2                | 7                       | 10 |
| T2        | 4                | 8                       | 25 |
| Т3        | 6                | 10                      | 30 |

Greedy algorithm

- Intuition: increasing the execution time of a task by x decreases error by x.
- The task with the largest period has the least utilization penalty.
  - Determine 1/P<sub>i</sub> for each task. This is the utilization penalty for increasing the execution time of T<sub>i</sub> by 1 time unit.
  - > Simplifying assumption: execution times are integers (or can be represented as integers).
- Increase the execution time of the task with the smallest utilization penalty to the maximum extent possible.
- > Then move to the task with the next smallest utilization penalty.
- Repeat until the utilization bound is reached or no further progress is possible.

### Solving the linear program

| Task | E <sub>min</sub> | <b>E</b> <sub>max</sub> | Ρ  |
|------|------------------|-------------------------|----|
| T1   | 2                | 7                       | 10 |
| T2   | 4                | 8                       | 25 |
| Т3   | 6                | 10                      | 30 |

- > The mandatory utilization is 0.56. The Liu & Layland bound for 3 tasks is 0.7797.
- The utilization penalty for T3 is 1/30=0.0333, for T2 is 1/25=0.04, for T1 is 1/10=0.1.
- We can increase the execution time of T3 by 4 units at a cost of 4 x 0.0333 = 0.1333
  - > The total utilization now becomes 0.6933.
- We can increase the execution time of T2 by 2 units at a cost of 2 x 0.04 = 0.08
  - The total utilization now becomes 0.7733.
- We cannot make any further increases without violating the utilization bound.
  - Thus we stop.
- <u>An</u> optimal solution is  $e_1=2$ ,  $e_2=6$ ,  $e_3=10$ .

# **Highlights**

- We examined another task model for providing good QoS for soft real-time systems.
- The imprecise computation model trades off execution time for accuracy.
- Different applications need different approaches to obtaining good *quality of service.*
- For each task parameter, we have studied some mechanism by which they can be controlled and the entire system behaves in a "predictable" manner.

### What you should know

- What is the imprecise computation model?
- Why, and where, is it useful?
- How do you decide execution times under this model?
  - **Solve for the simple case of linear error function.**
- Ponder: Can we use elastic scheduling when tasks have variable execution times? What should the parameters for such task sets be?