Worst-Case Execution Time Analysis

EECE 494

The University of British Columbia

Lecture Outline

- How do we estimate the execution time of a task?
  - Specifically, WCET.
  - Testing.
  - Simulation.
  - Program analysis.

Read the associated chapter: **Execution Time Analysis for Embedded Real-Time Systems**, Chapter 35 of the Handbook of Real-Time and Embedded Systems.

CPUs and Software Performance Analysis

- System performance cannot be determined without choosing a CPU
- Software execution times definitely don't scale across CPU architectures, perhaps not even within a CPU family
- Architectural features which influence program performance:
  - pipelining
  - caching
  - bus bandwidth

Hierarchical Performance Modeling

- We would like to have a hierarchy of increasingly accurate performance models, from system specification to assembly code.
- Very little work in C-level performance modeling---hard to separate the program from the CPU.
- Two types of questions:
  - which variety of Brand X CPU do I use?
  - should I use Brand X or Brand Y?

Caches and Code Speed

- Worst-case:
  - tight-deadline device interrupts
  - driver is not in cache
  - multiple high-priority drivers knock each other out of the cache
- Cache miss costs from a few cycles on up; the faster the CPU, the more costly is a miss
- Worst-case execution time is much larger than best-case, leading to extreme overengineering.

Alternative Approaches to Performance Analysis

- Conservative analysis – performance always within bounds.
  - WCET gives bounds from analysis, limited simulation.
- Detailed analysis – more info on a particular case but no bounds.
  - Execution-based methods provide lots of details but only for given input data.

Performance of HLLs

- HLL: High-Level Language
- We would like to bound or estimate program performance from high-level code:
  - simplifies identification of paths
  - provides early performance estimates
- Realistically, we need to know the execution platform.

Paths and Performance

- Branches of conditional have different execution times.
- Loops:
  - Multiple iterations.
  - Varying number of iterations.



Measurements of Interest

- Execution time bounds: worst, best.
  - Upper/lower bounds important in multitasking systems.
- Execution time of incomplete code.
  - Must be able to handle time estimates for pieces of code.
- Bounds of varying quality:
  - Loose bounds quickly.
  - Tight bounds with more effort.

Early Work: Explicit Path Analysis

- Shaw developed techniques to prove bounds on the number of times through paths.
- Park and Shaw developed techniques for path analysis and for measurement of execution times of HLL statements on a 68000.

Challenges and Approaches

- Exponential number of paths.
  - Limit program constructs.
  - Add annotations.
  - Implicit path analysis.
- Instruction times are not independent: pipeline effects; cache effects.
  - State-dependent instruction execution time.
  - Simulation.

Abstract Program Flow Anlaysis

- Bound set of feasible paths without exhaustive simulation.
  - May include some infeasible paths in the feasible set.
- Perform abstract interpretation of the program to find feasible paths.
  - Generate safe bounds on the values of variables.

Bounding Loop Iterations

Four phases:

1. Iteratively identify branches that affect the number of iterations.
2. Identify loop iteration on which loop index-dependent branches change direction.
3. Use step 2 to determine when step 1 branches are reached.
4. Calculate bounds on number of iterations.

Implicit Path Analysis

- Schedl, Li/Malik—find path length without explicitly finding path.
- Formulate as constraint solving problem:
  - Generate constraints that describe program, annotations.
  - Solve using constraint solver, ILP (depending on types of constraints allowed).
- IPET (Implicit Path Enumeration).

WCET and Optimizing Compilers

- Optimizing compilers can radically change program control flow.
- Must analyze timing of the optimized code.
  - Annotations must be transferred to the optimized code.
  - Must be able to perform the program transformations on the optimizations.

Cache Analysis Extensions

- Must segment program units around cache lines.
- Different execution times for in-cache and out-of-cache.
- Conservative assumption: use in-cache time only if statement is known to be in cache.
- Add constraints which model cache state based on program flow.

Cache Analysis Model (Colin & Puaut)

- Instruction block (iblock): a basic block fragment that fits into a cache line.
  - Decomposition of program into iblocks depends on cache organization.
- Determine paths on which iblocks result in hits, misses.

Cache Interference Example



Branch Prediction Bounding (Colin & Puaut)

- Missed branch prediction causes pipeline bubble.
  - Branch predictor has finite capacity.
  - Predictor may make wrong prediction.
- Keep track of branch history.
  - Memoryless predictors are a special case.
- Determine what prediction the machine will make to determine whether a bubble may be caused.
  - Known correct predictions cause no bubble.
  - Known incorrect predictions cause a bubble.
  - Indeterminate results are pessimistically presumed to cause a bubble.

Data Caching

- Data address may not be known at compile time:
  - Pointers.
  - Stack variables.
- Caching of stack variables is easier to compute.
  - Offset from stack pointer is known.
  - Can compute cache block based upon sp offset.

Timing Through Simulation

- Use a simulator to time a sequence of instructions.
  - Can simulate basic blocks with boundary conditions for branches.
- WCET tools often use custom simulators designed for small pieces of code, call by subroutine.

Behavioral Performance Analysis

- Use program behavior to analyze performance.
- Advantages:
  - Handles arbitrary program.
  - Captures realistic behavior.
- Disadvantages:
  - Doesn’t guarantee worst-case/best-case behavior.

Methodology

- Sources of a behavior:
  - Program execution on platform.
  - Simulated execution.



Cycle-Accurate Simulator

- Models the microarchitecture.
  - Simulating one instruction requires executing routines for instruction decode, etc.
- Models pipeline state.
  - Microarchitectural registers are exposed to the simulator.



Sources of Timing Information

- Data book tables:
  - Time of individual instructions.
  - Penalties for various hazards.
- Microarchitecture:
  - Depends from the structure of machine.
  - Derived from execution of the instruction in the microarchitecture.

Levels of Detail in Simulation

- Instruction schedulers:
  - Models availability of microarchitectural resources.
  - May not capture all interactions.
- Cycle timers:
  - Models full microarchitecture.
  - Most accurate, requires exact model of the microarchitecture.

Modular Simulators

- Model instructions through a description file.
  - Drives assembler, basic behavioral simulation.
- Assemble a simulation program from code modules.
  - Can add your own code.

What To Learn

- The need for timing analysis
- Principles of execution time analysis
  - By measurements and simulations
  - Static analysis
    - What is flow analysis?
    - What is low-level analysis?
    - What is IPET?

The required reading supplements this lecture (and is quite an easy read).