

Subset of the C language for critical systems

SAFETY-CRITICAL SYSTEMS

• System is safety-critical if people might die due to software bugs

• Examples

- Automobile stability / traction control
- Medical automation
- Many military applications
- You develop safety-critical software differently from non-critical software

MISRA-C

• **MISRA** – Motor Industry Software Reliability Association

• Their bright idea:

- Can't avoid C
- But can force developers to avoid features of C that are known to be problematic
 - Some language flaws
 - Some legitimate features that happen to be bad for embedded software

 Most of MISRA-C is just good common sense for any C programmer

TERMINOLOGY

- Execution error: Something illegal done by a program
 - Out-of-bounds array reference
 - Divide by zero
 - Uninitialized variable usage
- Trapped execution error: Immediately results in exception or program termination
- Untrapped execution error: Program keeps running
 - But may fail in an unexpected way later on
 - E.g., due to corrupted RAM
 - In C, operations with undefined behavior are not trapped

SAFETY

- A safe language does not allow untrapped execution errors
- A statically safe language catches all execution errors at compile time
- Useful languages can't be completely statically safe
 - Java is dynamically safe
 - C and C++ are very unsafe
 - MISRA C is not safe either
- However, adherence to MISRA-C can largely be statically checked
 - This eliminates or reduces the likelihood of some kinds of untrapped execution errors

MISRA-C RULE 1.2

• No reliance shall be placed on undefined or unspecified behavior.

- Lots of things in C have undefined behavior
 - Divide by zero
 - Out-of-bounds memory access
 - Signed integer overflow
- Lots of things in C have implementation-defined and unspecified behavior

 printf ("a") + printf ("b");
- Both of these hard to detect at compile time, in general
- Implementation-defined behavior is fine in MISRA-C
 - Why?

```
MISRA-C RULE 5.2
```

 Identifiers in an inner scope shall not use the same name as an identifier in an outer scope, and therefore hide that identifier.

```
int total;
int foo (int total) {
    return 3*total;
}
```

What does this code mean?Why is it bad?

MORE MISRA-C

- Rule 6.3: Typedefs that indicate size and signedness should be used in place of the basic types.
 - For example uint32_t or int8_t
 - Why?
 - Good idea in general?
- Rule 9.1: All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used.
 - Data segment: Initialized by programmer
 - BSS segment: Initialized to zero
 - Stack variables: Initialized to garbage

MORE MISRA-C

- Rule 11.1: Conversions shall not be performed between a pointer to a function and any type other than an integral type.
- Rule 11.5: A cast shall not be performed that removes any const or volatile qualification from the type addressed by a pointer.

MORE MISRA-C

• Rule 12.1: Limited dependence should be placed on C's operator precedence rules in expressions.

• What does this program mean?

```
int main (void) {
    int x = 0;
    if (x & 1 == 0) {
        printf ("t\n");
    } else {
        printf ("f\n");
    }
}
```

- Rule 12.2: The value of an expression shall be the same under any order of evaluation that the standard permits.
- Rule 12.3: The sizeof operator shall not be used on expressions that contain side effects.
 - E.g. sizeof(x++);
 - What does this code mean?
 - Absurd that this is permissible in the first place

- Rule 12.4: The right-hand operand of a logical && or || operator must not contain side effects.
 - && and || are short-circuited in C
 - Evaluation terminates as soon as the truth of falsity of the expression is definite
 - if(x||y++){...}
 - Can this be verified at compile time?
 - What is a side effect anyway?
 - Page fault?
 - Cache line replacement?

• 12.10: The comma operator shall not be used.

 Some of the most unreadable C makes use of commas

(C-=Z=!Z) ||(printf("\n|"), C = 39, H--);

- 13.3: Floating-point expressions shall not be tested for equality or inequality.
 - Why?

• 14.1: There shall be no unreachable code.

- Good idea?
- 14.7: A function shall have a single point of exit at the end of the function.
 - Good idea?

- 16.2: Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly.
- 16.10: If a function returns error information, then that error information shall be tested.
 - What does scanf() return? printf()? fclose()?

 17.6: The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist.

```
int * foo (void) {
    int x;
    int *y = &x;
    return y;
}
```

This is a common (and nasty) C/C++ error
How is this avoided in Java?

- 18.3: An area of memory shall not be reused for unrelated purposes.
 - No overlays!
- 19.4: C macros shall only expand to a braced initializer, a constant, a parenthesized expression, a type qualifier, a storage class specifier, or a do-while-zero construct.
- 20.4: Dynamic heap memory allocation shall not be used.
 - Woah!

MISRA LIMITATIONS

• What cannot be accomplished within the MISRA framework?

- Safety
- Eliminating the preprocessor
- Generics

• "A shack built on a swamp"

TOOL SUPPORT FOR MISRA

• Goals:

- Compiler should emit warning or error for any MISRA rule violation
- Should not emit warnings or errors for code not violating the rules
- Tools:
 - Compilers from Green Hills, IAR, Keil
 - PC-Lint

 Reportedly there is considerable variation between tools

Other Language Subsets

o SPARK Ada

- Subset of Ada95
- Probably the most serious attempt to date at a safe, statically checkable language for critical software
- Too bad Ada is so uncool...

• Embedded C++

- No multiple inheritance
- No runtime type information (RTTI)
- No exceptions
- No templates
- No namespaces
- No new-style type casts

More Subsets

o J2ME

- Not actually a language subset
- Restricted Java runtime environment that has far smaller memory footprint
- Popular on cell phones, etc.
- JavaCard
 - Very small targets 8-bit processors
- Basic ideas:
 - A good language subset restricts expressiveness a little and restricts potential errors a lot
 - All languages have warts (at least in the context of embedded systems)
 - Simpler compilers may be better

SUMMARY

• C has clear advantages and disadvantages for building safety-critical embedded software

• MISRA-C mitigates some of the disadvantages

• Language subsetting can be a good idea